

Olson, Jeff

From: Camille Lowman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, January 5, 2026 12:34 PM
To: Price, Troy
Cc: Nick.Adams@atg.wa.gov; Angie.Adams@atg.wa.gov; Tienney.Milnor@atg.wa.gov; tania.may@k12.wa.us; tim.merlino@esd112.org; anne.mcenerny-ogle@cityofvancouver.us; Olson, Jeff
Subject: Re: Requesting response for status update re: Jenae Gomes
Attachments: 2nd f Dec of Camille L with Ex.pdf

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Chief Price,

I have included the OSPI, members of the Attorney General's office (as it is my understanding that the Washington State Attorney General's office represents OSPI), the ESD 112 superintendent, and the Mayor of Vancouver.

In short, this is due to the fact that I want to make sure that issues of public corruption receive appropriate attention. I am reaching out again, as I still have not had a response of the status of the investigation with respect to VPD report number 25-17448 and in the last few days of 2025, I was contacted by Evergreen School District (ESD) Board of Directors member Gary Wilson with new evidence and new evidence relating to a new crime.

In the last few days of 2025, Director Gary Wilson and I discussed a number of topics. One topic that was raised related to the criminal investigation of Jenae Gomes in relation to allegations she violated RCW 40.16.020.

The status of this investigation is currently unknown. However, during the course of Director Wilson's discussion with me, I responded to an inquiry and informed Director Wilson about information contained in emails between VPD Det. Jay Alie and Garrett Williams. In summary, Director Wilson was surprised to be informed that Garret Williams had provided Vancouver Police Department Det. Jay Alie in writing false or misleading information, while stating he was doing it on behalf of the ESD Board of Directors.

Director Wilson indicated that he was completely unaware that Mr. Garrett Williams was responding with these facts on his behalf.

Director Wilson was present on October 10, 2024, after a complaint was filed by 2 separate teachers (one of which was Adam Aguilera), ESD John Boyd (the superintendent at the time) initiated an investigation into a number of issues, one of which specifically was the September 4, 2023, supplemental contract used to pay COO Jenae Gomes \$13980.00. The ESD secured a third-party investigator, the allegations relating to the validity of the supplemental contract. The ESD paid the third-party investigator over \$29,000 to conduct the investigation. When Adam Aguilera was provided with a copy of the investigation, he appealed the findings related to the supplemental contracts among other items. On October 10, 2024, Gary Wilson was present for Adam Aguilera's appellate proceeding before the ESD Board, with Garrett Williams, Jenae Gomes and Superintendent Moloney and me also being present.

There were four (4) live presentations given on the topic of Jenae Gomes supplemental contract:

- 1: a former employee from ESD payroll who Jenae Gomes terminated when he challenged the efficacy of her supplemental contract in September of 2023.
- 2: Tim Siess, a teacher in the ESD for over three decades who contacted ESD President of the Board of Directors Rob Perkins and requested an investigation in 2023.
- 3: Adam Aguilera provided a presentation.
- 4: I provided a presentation.

Director Wilson was present at the October 10, 2024, appellate proceedings and he knows that there were complaints made about the supplemental contracts by at least two teachers and Danny Steveson.

Director Wilson was present at the appellate proceeding, and Director Wilson knows that Garrett Williams and Jenae

Gomes witnessed the same things he did regarding the third-party investigation and the complaints that initiated the third-party investigation.

Director Wilson also knows that on October 28, 2025, Garrett Williams was asked questions by VPD Jay Alie which were material to his investigation efforts and that Garrett Williams provided false or misleading information to Jay Alie.

There is no known record of the ESD board engaging in an approval process to pay for Garrett Williams to represent her personally in the criminal investigation. There is certainly no record that the ESD authorized Garrett Williams to be paid with public funds to provide false or misleading information to a public servant. **It is clear from the documentation that Jenae Gomes authorized payment for Garret Williams October 2025 billings for preparing the October 28, 2025, email sent to VPD Jay Alie.**

I have previously indicated that Garrett Williams' actions in the October 28, 2025 email to VPD Jay Alie constituted sufficient evidence of the crime of providing false or misleading statements to a public servant. However, it is clear that Garrett Williams' false and misleading statements were not approved by the ESD Board member Gary Wilson. There is no record that payment by the ESD was publicly approved by the ESD Board in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act requirements.

There appears to be more than sufficient evidence to justify an investigation into the crime of misappropriation of public funds, (See; RCW 42.20.070; Misappropriation and falsification of accounts by public officer) relating to the October payments authorized by Ms. Gomes for Garrett Wilson's representation of her personal criminal defense. Specifically, for the representation encompassing the provision of false or misleading material statements to VPD Det. Jay Alie. (See: RCW 9A.76.175).

It would appear that investigation of these crimes may need to be undertaken by a different law enforcement agency as VPD Det. Jay Alie was the victim of one of these crimes, i.e. the allegations of the violation of RCW 9A.76.175.

It would be greatly appreciated if the recipients of this email would reply to me that they have received this email.
Chief Price, could you please acknowledge receipt of this email and provide me with an update on the status of the underlying investigation?

I have attached my declaration and the Declarations of Adam Aguilera, Danny Stevenson, Tim Siess, and Katie Rich.
Thank you,
Camille Lowman

On Tue, Dec 23, 2025 at 9:08 PM Camille Lowman <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Lt. Olsen,

Thank you for confirming receipt of my emails. I really appreciate your confirmation of my email.

Chief Price,

Angie Bunda actually worked for a police chief here in the area a few years ago and shared with me that the police chief had a saying, "No call too small." I understood that to mean that no one reaching out to law enforcement should be ignored.

Unfortunately, it appears that Angie and I have a "call" that you consider to be "too small."

I say this as it appears that a request for a status on a matter where we have engaged in reporting of public corruption and of a subsequent action by a speaking agent of the Evergreen School District has engaged in providing false statements to a public servant...and this apparently doesn't merit a response.

There are many taxpayers in the City of Vancouver (including myself) who I sincerely believe will be surprised (and disappointed) by this reality.

And unfortunately, I imagine there are others who sadly won't be suprused (but will be equally as disappointed).

Given that we are going to be ignored, we will move on to interact with those who are more directly accountable to the voters. We do also sincerely hope that you are not neglecting to respond to the "calls" of others in the way you have been choosing to ignore ours.

Happy Holidays,
Camille Lowman

On Tue, Dec 23, 2025 at 4:48 PM Olson, Jeff <jeff.olson@cityofvancouver.us> wrote:

Good afternoon,

The Professional Standards Unit has received this email.

Take care,
Jeff

From: Camille Lowman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2025 12:59 PM
To: Price, Troy <Troy.Price@cityofvancouver.us>; Schaffer, Brian <Brian.Schaffer@cityofvancouver.us>; Olson, Jeff <jeff.olson@cityofvancouver.us>
Cc: Angie Bunda <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Fwd: Requesting response for status update re: Jenae Gomes

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Hello,

I ask that you please confirm receipt of this email today. I have not received any bounce-back or out-of-office notifications, so I am operating under the premise based on educated deduction (e.g.; Angie Bunda, also on this email thread, confirmed she received it) that you have received it as well.

Looking forward to receiving confirmation of receipt of this email today.

Thank you,

Camille Lowman