

Report Number 2025-017448 - Offense Modifying Supplement - 1 Report		
REPORT DATE / TIME	EVENT START DATE / TIME - EVENT END DATE / TIME	REPORT WRITER
Oct 21, 2025 13:53	Sep 2, 2025 14:58	Jay Alie #1205
SUPPLEMENT TYPE		
Other - Bunda Interview, potential charges, ref for PA guidance		
NARRATIVE		

The M43 system does not allow an entry for:

RCW 40.26.020 Injury to and Misappropriation of Public Record - which is the allegation cited by the complainants. .

Synopsis

In early September, 2025, at the time this report was initiated by a group of citizens, the Evergreen Public School district was actively engaged in contentious labor negotiations with their classified employees union. The school year was delayed as a result of this unsettled contract and union strike. The district's negotiating team was heading by district COO Jenae Gomes, who has historically been the district's negotiator in previous strikes

Kendall Thiemann delivered a packet of information to East Precinct alleging the COO of Evergreen Public Schools, Jenae Gomes, had committed the crime of 40.16.020. Thiemann did not answer any questions from the officer.

Immediately after these allegations were delivered, a group associated with Thiemann started assertively using and posting references to a VPD investigation and referral for charges to the PA's office for this potential B felony offense against Gomes. Those posts included references to why she wasn't being consequencesd by the district, including being removed from the negotiating team, and references to how the district's inaction to this investigation might impact school board positions up for re-election.

The issue offered by Thiemann, and others who just repeated Thiemann's allegations, occurred in September, 2023 and was publicized and responded to by the district in March, 2024, with no allegation from the district of any wrongdoing. The state auditor gave the district a clean bill in their 2023-24 audit, which the district advised contained the specific contracts being offered as evidence. Though four persons received such contracts, the complaint offered by Thiemann only accused Gomes.

The timing of the complaint, the manner in which the complaint was raised, and the way the complaint was publicly characterized by the complainants, appears to have been specifically offered to create the opportunity to accuse Gomes of criminal behavior at a time when she was involved in labor negotiations.

This supplement covers interviews or attempts at interviews of the primary complainants.

I request the PA's office review the complainant's allegations and the reporting to date to assess the viability of any potential criminal complaint and provide direction on whether further investigation is necessary.

Narrative

On Wednesday, 10/15/25, I started to reach out to the various complainants associated with this case. As previously referenced, Kendall Thiemann brought in the original packet but opted not to respond to any questions from Off. Bauman. The packet is a prepared statement characterized as an "investigation" with its own set of self produced conclusions. Nothing in the original packet was documentation of an independent investigation.

The original packet included two declarations from Angie Bunda and Camille Lowman. The declarations were almost word for word reproductions, both were dated incorrectly in the exact same manner. As such, neither of these were a witness's independent statement as to what they specifically and individually know.

A second set of declarations had been delivered to the PA's office and forwarded back to VPD East Precinct. This set of declarations, from various parents and persons with an interest in the EPS district, were also previously prepared, almost word for word reproductions, the specifics of which matched information that was being posted online. Again, none of these were individualized statements of independent knowledge of events.

I called Kendall Thiemann at the number listed for him and left a message on voice mail in his name.

I did some review of open source postings regarding this complaint, as referenced in my prior supplemental. Among those, I saw that Angie Bunda had publicly posted an email from Alan Harvey, a local attorney, in which Harvey makes references that were specifically

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE	SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE
Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)	Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)
PRINT NAME	PRINT NAME
Jay Alie #1205	Spencer Harris #1300

repeated in both comments from other posters and, particularly, in the second round of declarations delivered to the PA's office. Most notably, the email posted tries to juxtapose the complaint against Gomes with a recent case involving another Vancouver area attorney charged and convicted on forgery allegations. This is a specious connection when made originally in the email. Like most of the other allegations in this complaint, that detail was repeated and attempted to be magnified in the packet of multiple copied declarations.

I called numbers listed for Harvey and sent emails to two listed email addresses, intending to clarify with Harvey what his role was and if he was aware his opinions on this matter were being publicized online.

I called Angie Bunda. At the time of this call, Bunda was with her kids and I could hear her interacting with them throughout the call. I introduced myself and told her I wanted to talk about this case. In these opening comments, I told her that, like all VPD officers, I had a body worn camera that audio and visually records and asked if she minded if recorded this conversation. She responded, "Yes, of course." Realizing I'd asked the question in a manner that an affirmative answer could be misinterpreted, I asked if, by answering "yes" that that meant she didn't mind. She chuckled and responded, "...yeah, no worries, I know how it goes." She advised she used to work for a regional law enforcement agency in their Records department.

After some initial pleasantries, I summarized that this was the case involving the complaint against Jenae Gomes made by Kendall Thiemann that she appeared to have knowledge about. She said she was "very familiar with it." I noted that I'd tried to reach him first and was now coming to her.

Bunda confirmed that she had provided a declaration and I told her I was pulling that up on my screen.

(Bunda then had an aside conversation with her kids and I told her to let me know when she was ready to proceed.)

Getting back to the declaration, I asked if Bunda had written the statement. She said that "a friend" helped, identifying that friend as Alan Harvey. She added that Harvey assisted both she and her friend Camille (Lowman). As noted above, I know Alan Harvey to be a professional attorney and former Clark County Prosecutor who I've had multiple cases with in his past role. I asked if Harvey was professionally representing Bunda or just helping out as a friend. She clarified he was acting as a friend.

This started to bring some clarity to the interesting tone and format of the various declarations. The language, content, and format of the declarations was appearing to be Alan Harvey's work product and not individualized witness statements.

I asked Bunda if Harvey provided her with the format used for her declaration. She said he did and that they "worked on it together".

Bunda said she has kids that go to school in the district and she runs the facebook page as the EPS advocate, which she referred to as a blogging page.

Starting in on the details of the declaration, I asked when Bunda became aware of the Gomes contract in question, which was signed on 9/4/23. She said the contract was originally signed and not disclosed to anyone. She said a person who works in the HR department came across the contract "...and thought, 'Well, this isn't how we do this. This doesn't look right.'" That person then "leaked" the information to a friend of Bunda's. She described that that person "came out...went and got a public records request for the documents".

She said she could look up the timeline but referenced there was an email she received sometime around Christmas of 2023, possibly in January, 2024. She said she and others let the school board know about the contracts in March, 2024 and there should be a video record of that board meeting. She said the school board reacted as if "they had no idea. Their faces were like ghosts, and they were like, What?"

I asked if she was aware of the school board's eventual reaction. (District officials are quoted in responses in a March, 2024 Columbian article that references this issue being brought up at the board meeting.) Bunda said, "...they actually never discussed it...to my knowledge.....I've been bringing it up for the last year and a half asking for it to be looked into because it didn't look...legitimate."

Asked why she believed that, Bunda responded, "...Number one....if you read the contract, it actually says in the verbiage of the contract that is only....for certificated personnel...(of which Gomes is not)". I pointed out that that is not what the contract says. Bunda said she had a copy in hand and would pull it out. We agreed that she was referring to the first paragraph at the lower half of the contract, which she read aloud to me, beginning with "compensation is to be paid in addition..." She only read the half of the sentence that references pay to be received by certificated employees. I pointed out that the entire language of that sentence does not indicate these contracts are only for certificated employees.

Bunda asked if the contract was intended for anyone else, "...wouldn't there be one single line..." that references other employees. I pointed out the second half of the sentence she'd previously read that says, "...or provisions for special and extended service payments adopted and approved by the board of directors." (quoted from conversation). Bunda offered that, "the board never adopted any type of, rate of pay, or pre approved release of the funds". I noted that the contract does not mention "preapproved".

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE

Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)

PRINT NAME

Jay Alie #1205

SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE

Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)

PRINT NAME

Spencer Harris #1300

Bunda confirmed that she was aware that John Boyd, the signer of the contract in question, was the superintendent of schools at the time this contract was signed. She added, "I do know that he signed on behalf of the board of directors. I also know that his signature here is pre-printed because the stack of...these contracts have pre-printed signatures."

Bunda added that she has documents signed by Boyd and the manner in which he dates on the contract were written were not Boyd's recognized handwriting. She said the issue date on the contract was Gomes' handwriting. She postulated, "So, did Jenae fill everything out and then have John sign it as the final thing?"

Bunda confirmed that she was aware that John Boyd has already publicly conceded that he authorized these contracts. She said, "I am aware of that, and then that's the one time he said it and he's never spoken about it again. The board never knew about this. So, the question is, Is this ethical?" She asked me, "So you don't think that this...could only be for certificated personnel, although there's never been an instance where a non-certificated person has had one of these?" I asked how she knew that and she said, "We've asked for other copies."

I asked if she was aware that three other persons also received similar such contracts at this time, for the same type of services. She clarified that only one of those persons, Jennifer Jacobson, is not a certificated employee. The other two, Tracy Thompson, is a former teacher, as is Bobbi Hite.

I asked if there was any reason why Jennifer Jacobson is not also mentioned in the complaint, if the contended issue is a truly non-certificated employee receiving such a contract. Bunda said I would have to talk to Kendall Thiemann about that. She added, "This was specifically about Jenae Gomes because I don't think, at the time, we had a copy of Jennifer Jacobson's contract that would show whether she has a detailed, pre-approved rate of pay for the job of being a negotiator." (Bunda is referencing the comparison to Gomes' personal general duty contract that designates one of her duties as contract negotiations and the potential for odd hour and extra hour work schedules.) She continued, "...my personal opinion is that she could be added to this because she is also not certificated and she is the expert in her field of finance and would be able to read this contract and say, 'Oh wait a minute, this is for certificated people. I shouldn't be getting this money.'"

I expressed that her interpretation of the contract language, as only for certificated employees, was not consistent with the actual language of the contract. She said she was confused as to how I came to that conclusion. I pointed out that she could not ignore the "or" in the paragraph, which she then read to me.

Bunda expressed that "adopted and approved" meant the board has to have "an actual hearing...where they officially adopt things.....and that never happened." She read through the contract language and repeated, "To our knowledge, they have to approve, adopt, and approve something...and we've looked through everything that we could possibly find to say where....did they adopt a special way to pay for something that Jenae Gomes was already getting paid for in her salary?"

I asked if Bunda looked at the State Auditor's report and she said she believed Camille Lowman has that. She asked if I had looked at the report, asking specifically if there was a specific line about her rate of pay, repeating again her point that Gomes was already getting paid to be the lead negotiator.

I told Bunda that it was a valid question to ask if it was right for the superintendent to authorize the payments in question, but it is the position of the district and the board that the superintendent was authorized to act on their behalf in approving such contracts. Boyd and the district have already made public statements that supplemental contracts for this purpose was a method used by Boyd at his prior district and it was decided to use such contracts during this bargaining period. (Quoted in the Columbian article, March/2024).

I advised Bunda that the district's legal representative has said these contracts were offered as examples to the State Auditor in the normal '23-'24 audit and the auditor's report found no issues. She asked about that report and I told her it was available online on the State auditor's website. She said "...that would be good information to have, definitely."

Bunda told me she was in possession of all four supplemental contracts issued to the negotiators. I asked Bunda if there was a reason why none of the other three persons are mention in any of the declarations. She said Bobbi Hite and Tracy Thompson are certificated, which she said meant "one of the two things that we felt we thought were being violated were not violated. So, without having further information, we weren't going to launch a police report on that." I interpreted her to be referencing the oft repeated, in the declarations, prong in the contract regarding such contracts applicability to how certificated employees are to be paid.

Regarding Jennifer Jacobson, Bunda said the group is "still trying to obtain her contract to find out if she had a specific rate of pay for being a negotiator.it didn't feel right to make a complaint specifically against her without having that evidence." Notably, Jacobson is specifically listed as one of the persons who received these contracts, in the March, 2024, Columbian article. Copies of these contracts were obtained by the complainant group via the public information process in early 2024 and Gomes' role specific personal contract was obtained in the same manner. Bunda said she was aware that Jacobson is the current district CFO.

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE

Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)

PRINT NAME

Jay Alie #1205

SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE

Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)

PRINT NAME

Spencer Harris #1300

While I didn't readily find contract specific language available online, Jacobson is listed as the district's Chief Financial Officer based on open source information. Her rate of pay is readily available online, as is that of John Boyd, Tracy Thompson, Bobbi Hite, and Jenae Gomes. Those five are listed as the top paid district employees in 2024 and it was well known that all were involved in the contract negotiations in the Fall of 2023. It would be naive to believe participation in labor negotiations would not be a listed aspect of the CFO's job description.

Bunda offered that the contracts in question were not written in John Boyd's handwriting. She offered to provide samples of his known handwriting. It is not contested by Boyd that he authorized the contracts. He and the district have openly stated that these were authorized contracts. Bunda added, "I have also had him tell me that he signs anything that comes across his desk."

I directed the conversation back to the actual declarations. I asked Bunda if she understood the statement at the bottom of the declaration regarding the perjury statement. (All of the declarations end with, "I certify and declare under penalty of perjury and the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing statement is true and correct." Though there are several versions of the declarations, they are mostly copies of each other and not any particular persons' individual statement in their own words.

Regarding her understanding, Bunda said, "I absolutely do." She said she also understood that she could find out more information later that could impact her knowledge and potentially change her statement. She said, "I spent like two years trying to work on finding out why no one will talk about these contracts. Why they wouldn't let me see them in person. They refused ...the physical original documents."

She continued, "Why the only person that signed off on these is someone that Jenae brought over with her from Kelso and then promoted to a head manager and the board didn't know about it....and John Boyd signed and then never informed the board either."

Bunda said she has been, "looking and looking and looking" for information on the nature of these contracts, adding, "So if there's new information, I'm happy, happy to have that....As far as what I signed in the declaration when I signed it, that is the best to my knowledge."

I noted that there was a published Columbian article with specific statements from the district in March, 2024, regarding these contracts, and there was a publicly available state auditors report dated March 14th, 2024, that found no issues with the district's finances.

Bunda responded saying, "...if I didn't read that, then that wouldn't be to my knowledge, would it?" I noted that Bunda's declaration makes several statements similar to, "I've not been able to locate any known record...." regarding the contracts. (The bullet points in the declaration are specific about where she was looking for such a record.) I pointed out that there was publicly available information about this issue. Bunda then went on to tell me, regarding the Columbian article, "The Columbian article I'm actually quoted in...." She said she has spoken privately with board members who have told her they had no idea these contracts were being issued and "they just had to roll with it". She said the person who said this would never come forward. I mentioned that this would seem to be a board member's job. She chuckled, suggesting that she felt there were multiple issues on which the board was not doing their job.

I noted to Bunda that her declaration is dated 9/1/2022 but it obviously references incidents that occurred in September, 2023. (Lowman's declaration, which is almost word for word to Bunda's, has the same exact error.) I asked Bunda if she recalled when this document was prepared. She said it was "discussed and signed" on the same day as Lowman's, which wasn't specifically narrowed down.

I started to recap the timeline to Bunda, noting the 8/27 facebook post on the account in her name saying, "it's time to call out corruption at Evergreen Public Schools and stop being afraid of a certain toxic administrator with a passion for poisoning our systems." (Quote from the interview transcript.) I told Bunda I assumed that was a reference to Jenae Gomes and she said, "actually a few different people, but yes". I then started to mention a post on the advocate account on 8/30.

Bunda interrupted and asked if there was a complaint against her that she should be aware of. I advised her I was just trying to sort out the facts. She suggested she was going to write a screen play about how this situation is playing out, going on to describe various levels of corruption "about people not doing their due diligence." I asked if she'd reported any of those things and she said she had reported to the attorney general but has not heard back from them. She described it being difficult to report issues involving non-certificated district employees. I gave her the URL for state auditor's website and information listed on that site about their role.

Bunda described a couple of issues she's had with communication with the school board. I offered that those are issues between a citizen and their representatives, as opposed to violations of the law, which she acknowledged she understood.

I told Bunda that an issue I was seeing was that she was posting publicly comments that appeared intended to imply that the Vancouver Police initiated an investigation and that charges were forwarded to the Clark County PA's office. She confirmed that she spoke to someone at the PA's office last week, later amending to "more than once", and was told that, "the police did process the report and that it is still in limbo waiting to be reviewed for charges." She said she was told Anna Klein at the PA's office is handling the case. Bunda told

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE

Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)

PRINT NAME

Jay Alie #1205

SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE

Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)

PRINT NAME

Spencer Harris #1300

me she was told the PA's office "...lost the report for a week. Apparently they've changed their systems and...they couldn't find it....and it's still in the process of being like, waiting to be reviewed, is basically what I've been told." She said the PA's office sent her a copy of Off. Bauman's report.

I pointed out that Off. Bauman's report is a general information report that, in itself, from the standpoint of the officer, does not allege any crimes. Bunda offered to provide me with emails she's received from the PA's office on which she built her understanding of the situation.

I pointed out that this was an issue because she has made public statements that are not true, in particular that the Vancouver Police were investigating B felony charges that are being prosecuted. She further made publicly posted statements challenging why the school board isn't taking action against Gomes, specifically, like putting her on administrative leave or removing her from the negotiating team.

I told her that the Vancouver Police are investigating the allegations made, but there was no indication that any crime occurred. She asked why she would be told that Anna Klein was handling the case. I noted that, from my perspective, there would be no way Klein would have enough information to consider any potential crime. (No one at the PA's office would have had access to my reports on this, at the time of this conversation, and Off. Bauman's report was not a crime allegation or an investigation covering anything of substance.)

Bunda was interrupted by an issue with her children in the background. When she returned to the call, she asked if we could talk later, to which I agreed. I asked if calling her the following morning would be better and we discussed a good time to reach her and agreed to a follow up call the next day.

The following day, on Thursday, 10/16/25, I received an email from Bunda that was cc'd to Chief Price, Sgt Tyson Taylor, Gayle Hutton (PA's office), Anna Klein (PA's office), Camille Lowman, and Kendall Theimann. The email had another copy of the Gomes contract attached to it.

Bunda started out noting that I'd advised her this case was not ready for review for charging by the PA's office. She offered that it was her takeaway that I was telling her she "was making this up". She added that, "after my experience on the phone with Jay Alie..." that she did not think I was being honest with her. She wrote that my questions to her about her declaration, particularly regarding the perjury statement, was made in an "accusatory manner". She said I told her that the call would be recorded but she, "didn't feel like I had a choice because he said he had to tape it." (Refer to the actual recording and quoted references above regarding the recording interaction.)

She went on to reiterate the basis of this complaint in general, including the quote of and her interpretation of the contract language.

Bunda wrote in her email that our conversation, "became argumentative and I couldn't get this out clearly.." (Refer to the recording to assess the accuracy of these statements.)

Bunda said I had not indicated to her any evidence where approval for these contracts had been given.

She offered that she is a witness doing her civic duty and she felt like she was treated as if she had done something wrong. She said such treatment made her not want to speak to me or anyone else from VPD. She pondered if Gomes would be treated similarly.

In response to Bunda's email, Gayle Hutton answered that the "intake matter assigned to Anna Klein for review is closed, and no action is being taken." She advised Bunda (and cc'd Lowman) that "There was no investigation done on the case at the time and based on what we received, there was no case to be prosecuted. Our office does not investigate cases. Any future witness statements or other information should be directed to Vancouver Police Department as the investigative agency, not our office."

See additional supp on interviews with Alan Harvey, John Boyd, and email response from Garrett Williams.

Attachments

Copies of emails

Recommendation

Attach to investigation

OFFENSE MODIFICATIONS

Changed Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE	SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE
Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)	Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)
PRINT NAME	PRINT NAME
Jay Alie #1205	Spencer Harris #1300

SUSPECTED ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION
No

Only Formatting Changes Made

SUSPECTED DRUG USE
No

SUSPECTED COMPUTER/ HANDHELD DEVICE USE
No

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
No

OFFENSE START DATE
09/04/2025 00:00

Added **ANGELA M BUNDA** to **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL** as a(n) Suspect

Changed The Link Between **ANGELA M BUNDA** and **[REDACTED]** **UNITED STATES** Lives At

LOCATION CATEGORY
~~Residence/ Home~~

NHOOD
~~OUT OF AOR~~

BEAT
~~85~~

PRECINCT
~~CCSO Central~~

~~GEO_ADDRESS_POINTS~~

JURNAME
~~CLARK COUNTY SH~~

DISTRICT
~~OUT OF AOR~~

Removed The Link Between **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL** and **KENDALL H THIEMANN** as a(n) Victim

Added **KENDALL H THIEMANN** to **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL** as a(n) Victim

Added **KENDALL H THIEMANN** to **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL** as a(n) Suspect

Changed The Link Between **KENDALL H THIEMANN** and **[REDACTED]** **UNITED STATES** Lives At

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Changed The Link Between **KENDALL H THIEMANN** and **[REDACTED]** **UNITED STATES** Lives At

BEAT
[REDACTED]

JURNAME

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)	SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)
PRINT NAME Jay Alie #1205	PRINT NAME Spencer Harris #1300

LOCATION CATEGORY

Residence/ Home

NHOOD

OUT OF AOR

GEO_ADDRESS_POINTS

DISTRICT

OUT OF AOR

PRECINCT

OUT OF AOR

Added **JENAE NICOLE GOMES** to **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL** as a(n) Victim

Changed The Link Between **JENAE NICOLE GOMES** and **UNITED STATES** Lives At

ENTERED DATE

42/08/2018 00:0009/02/2025 15:51

Changed The Link Between **JENAE NICOLE GOMES** and **UNITED STATES** Lives At

ENTERED DATE

05/26/2018 00:0009/02/2025 15:51

Changed The Link Between **JENAE NICOLE GOMES** and **UNITED STATES** Lives At

PLACE NAME

EVERGREEN SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION OFFICES

Added **VEP, 520 SE 155TH AVE, VANCOUVER, WA 98684, UNITED STATES** to **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL** as a(n) Offense Location

Added **Offense: RCW_9.62.010.1_MALICIOUS PROSECUTION - FEL**

This report was generated in Mark43 and the e-signature was affixed using the undersigned officer's unique login and password. I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ELECTRONICALLY SIGNED	DATE	PLACE
Jay Alie	10/23/2025	Vancouver Police Department, WA

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE / DATE	SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE / DATE
Jay Alie #1205 Oct 23, 2025 16:01 (e-signature)	Spencer Harris #1300 Nov 3, 2025 09:41 (e-signature)
PRINT NAME	PRINT NAME
Jay Alie #1205	Spencer Harris #1300